IOP STATE

OUT53783

TOPSECRET	2 1004 9Z	1964 JUL 21	OT	2 1 JUL 1364
	2618			HFS 25X1
CORONA				cjs
REF 1386		55		25X1
1. AS REQUEST	ED IN REFERENCE, WE HAVE CANVASSED	THE RE-		_D#5
QUIREMENTS OF AMS	AND ACIC FOR THEIR VIEWS AS TO THE	E NEED FOR		ACC
STEP AND REPEAT P	ROCESSING OF THE INDEX CAMERA FILM	FROM CORO	NΑ	

A. COMMENTS FROM AMS: "STEP AND REPEAT METHOD DOES, REPEAT DOES PROVIDE A PRODUCT SUFFICIENTLY SUPERIOR TO WARRANT THE ADDITIONAL PROCESING TIME, DELAY IN DELIVERY AND ADDED COST OVER THE CONTINUOUS STRIP METHOD.

MISSIONS. THEIR INDIVIDUAL REPLIES ARE EXTRACTED FOR YOUR INFOR-

MATION BELOW:

INDEX PHOTOGRAPHY IS THE MAIN SOURCE FOR VERTICAL TRIANGULATION AND, CONSEQUENTLY, METRIC FIDELITY, OPTIMUM RESOLUTION AND OPTIMUM PHOTO QUALITY ARE ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL. THE CONTINUOUS STRIP METHOD WOULD DISTORT THE PHOTO GEOMETRY BEYOND ACCEPTABLE MEASUREMENT ACCURACY TOLERANCES, WOULD DEGRADE EXPOSURE QUALITY THROUGH ABSENCE OF INDIVIDUAL FRAME TONE CONTROL, AND WOULD SACRIFICE RESOLUTION.

PLEASE CONTINUE STEP AND REPEAT PROCESSING."

B. COMMENTS FROM ACIC: "1. DENSITY READINGS MADE THROUGH

25X1

Grace (File)



O'T ROLLS SHOWED OUTPUT OF STEP AND REPEAT SUBJECT TO MORE

VARIABILITY THAN CONTINUOUS PRINTER. 2. IMAGE ANALYSIS BASED

ON MEASUREMENTS OF GRANULARITY. ACUTANCE AND RESOLUTION SHOWED

NO SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO PRINTERS. 3. SUBJECTIVE

EVALUATION OF IMAGE CONTENT AND QUALITY USING 20X MAGNIFIED PRINTS

DID NOT SHOW ANY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE. 4. PROCESSING QUALITY

OF STEP AND REPEAT PRINTS WAS INFERIOR DUE TO PRESENCE OF NEWTON

RINGS AND DUST PARTICLES. THESE DEFICIENCIES ARE PREJUDICIAL

TO ANY UTILIZATION OF MATERIALS FOR ANALYTICAL TRIANGULATION

APPLICATION. 5. THE STEP AND REPEAT PRODUCTS HAVE NO ADVANTAGES

OVER THOSE MADE ON CONTINUOUS PRINTER. RECOMMEND THAT USE OF

STEP AND REPEAT PRINTER BE DISCONTINUED FOR INDEX PHOTOGRAPHY."

2. POSITION PREVIOUSLY RECORDED IN 2030
TOPSECRET

-END OF MESSAGE-

25X1 25X1